arXiv:1207.3831v1 [math.PR] 16 Jul 2012

Covariation representations for matrix Lévy process ensembles of free infinitely divisible distributions

J. Armando Domínguez-Molina*
Facultad de Ciencias Físico-Matemáticas
Universidad Autónoma de Sinaloa, México

Víctor Pérez-Abreu†
Departamento de Probabilidad y Estadística
CIMAT, Guanajuato, México

Alfonso Rocha-Arteaga‡
Facultad de Ciencias Físico-Matemáticas
Universidad Autónoma de Sinaloa, México

July 18, 2012

Abstract

It is known that the so-called Bercovici-Pata bijection can be explained in terms of certain random matrix ensembles $(M_d)_{d\geq 1}$ whose asymptotic spectral distributions are free infinitely divisible. We investigate matrix Lévy processes with jumps of rank one associated to these random matrix ensembles introduced in [5] and [8]. A sample path approximation by covariation processes for these matrix Lévy processes is obtained. As a general result we prove that any $d\times d$ matrix subordinator with jumps of rank one is the quadratic variation of an $\mathbb{R}^d$-valued Lévy process. In particular, we have the corresponding result for the matrix subordinators with jumps of rank one associated to the random matrix ensembles $(M_d)_{d\geq 1}$.

Key words: Infinitely divisible random matrix, matrix subordinator, Bercovici-Pata bijection, matrix semimartingale, matrix compound Poisson.

AMS 2010 Subject Classification: 60B20; 60E07; 60G51; 60G57.

1 Introduction

New models of infinitely divisible random matrices have emerged in recent years from both applications and theory. On the one hand, they have been important in multivariate financial Lévy modelling where stochastic volatility models have been proposed using Lévy and Ornstein-Uhlenbeck matrix valued processes; see [2], [3], [4] and [12]. A key role in these models is played by the matrix subordinators and more general matrix covariation processes.

On the other hand, in the context of free probability, Bercovici and Pata [7] introduced a bijection Λ from the set of classical infinitely divisible distributions to the set of free infinitely divisible distributions. This bijection was explained in terms of random matrix ensembles by Benaych-Georges [5] and Cabanal-Duvillard [8], providing in a more palpable way the bijection Λ and producing a new kind of infinitely divisible random

---

[*] jadguez@uas.edu.mx
[†] pabreu@cimat.mx
[‡] arteaga@uas.edu.mx

matrix ensembles. Moreover, the results in [5] and [8] constitute a generalization of Wigner's result for the Gaussian unitary ensemble and give an alternative simple infinitely divisible random matrix model for the Marchenko-Pastur distribution, for which the Wishart and other empirical covariance matrix ensembles are not infinitely divisible.

More specifically, it is shown in [5] and [8] that for any one-dimensional infinitely divisible distribution μ there is an ensemble of Hermitian random matrices $(M_d)_{d\geq 1}$, whose empirical spectral distribution converges weakly almost surely to $\Lambda(\mu)$ as d goes to infinity. Moreover, for each $d \geq 1$, M_d has a unitary invariant matrix distribution which is also infinitely divisible in the matrix sense. From now on we call these models BGCD matrix ensembles. It is possible to obtain BGCD models of symmetric random matrices rather than Hermitian. Indeed, slight changes in the proof of [5, Theorem 3.1] give for each $d \geq 1$, a $d \times d$ real symmetric random matrix M_d with orthogonal invariant infinitely divisible matrix distribution. We consider additional facts of BGCD models in Section 3.

A problem of further interest is to understand the matrix Lévy process $\{M_d(t)\}_{t\geq 0}$ associated to the BGCD matrix ensembles. It was pointed out in [9], [11] that the Lévy measures of these models are concentrated on rank-one matrices. This means that the random matrix M_d is a realization, at time one, of a matrix valued Lévy process $\{M_d(t)\}_{t\geq 0}$ with rank one jumps $\Delta M_d(t) = M_d(t) - M_d(t^-)$.

The purpose of this paper is to study the structure of a $d \times d$ Hermitian Lévy process $\{L_d(t)\}_{t\geq 0}$ with rank-one jumps. It is shown in Section 4 that if L_d is a real symmetric nonnegative definite matrix Lévy process, it is the quadratic variation of an $\mathbb{R}^d$-valued Lévy process X_d, being the converse part of the folklore. The process X_d is constructed via its Lévy-Itô decomposition. In Section 5 we consider new realizations in terms of covariation of $\mathbb{C}^d$-valued Lévy process for matrix compound Poisson process as well as sample path approximations for Lévy processes associated to general BGCD ensembles. A new insight on Marchenko-Pastur's type results for empirical covariance matrix ensembles was recently given in [6] by considering compound Poisson models (then infinitely divisible). In this direction our results show the role of covariation of d-dimensional Lévy process as an alternative to empirical covariance processes.

For convenience of the reader, and since the material and notation in the literature is disperse and incomplete, we include Section 2 with a review on preliminaries on complex matrix semimartingales and matrix valued Lévy processes that are used later on in this paper.

2 Preliminaries on Matrix Lévy processes

Let $\mathbb{M}_{d\times q} = \mathbb{M}_{d\times q}(\mathbb{C})$ (respectively $\mathbb{M}_{d\times q}(\mathbb{R})$) denote the linear space of $d\times q$ matrices with complex (respectively real) entries with scalar product $\langle A, B\rangle = \operatorname{tr}(AB^*)$ and the Frobenius norm $\|A\| = [\operatorname{tr}(AA^*)]^{1/2}$ where tr denotes the (non normalized) trace and $|A| = (AA^*)^{1/2}$. If $q = d$, we write $\mathbb{M}_d = \mathbb{M}_{d\times d}$. The set of Hermitian random matrices in $\mathbb{M}_d$ is denoted by $\mathbb{H}_d$. Likewise, let $\mathbb{U}_{d\times q} = \mathbb{U}_{d\times q}(\mathbb{C}) = \{U \in \mathbb{M}_{d\times q} : U^*U = \mathrm{I}_q\}$. If $q = d$, $\mathbb{U}_d = \mathbb{U}_{d\times d}$. We denote by $\mathbb{H}_{d(1)}$ the set of matrices in $\mathbb{H}_d$ of rank 1 and by $\mathbb{H}_d^+$ ($\overline{\mathbb{H}}_d^+$) the set of positive (nonnegative) definite matrices in $\mathbb{H}_d$. Likewise $\mathbb{H}_{d(1)}^+ = \mathbb{H}_{d(1)} \cap \overline{\mathbb{H}}_d^+$.

Covariation of complex matrix semimartingales An $\mathbb{M}_{p\times q}$-valued process $X = \{(x_{ij})_t\}_{t\geq 0}$ is a matrix semimartingale if $(x_{ij})_t$ is a complex semimartingale for each $i = 1, ..., p$, $j = 1, ..., q$. Let $X = \{(x_{ij})_t\}_{t\geq 0} \in \mathbb{M}_{p\times q}$ and $Y = \{(y_{ij})_t\}_{t\geq 0} \in \mathbb{M}_{q\times r}$ be semimartingales. Similar to the case of matrices with real entries in [2], we define the matrix covariation of X and Y as the $\mathbb{M}_{p\times r}$-valued process $[X, Y] := ([X, Y]_t)_{t\geq 0}$ with entries

$$[X, Y]_t^{ij} = \sum_{k=1}^{q} [x_{ik}, y_{kj}]_t \tag{1}$$

where $[x_{ik}, y_{kj}]_t$ is the covariation of the $\mathbb{C}$-valued semimartingales $\{(x_{ik})_t\}$ and $\{(x_{kj})_t\}$; see [13, pp 83]. One has the decomposition into a continuous part and a pure jump part as follows

$$[X,Y]_t = X_0 Y_0 + [X^c, Y^c]_t + \sum_{s\leq t} (\Delta X_s)(\Delta Y_s), \tag{2}$$

where $[X^c, Y^c]_t^{ij} := \sum_{k=1}^q [x_{ik}, y_{kj}]_t^c$.

We will use the facts that $[X] = [X, X^*]$ is a nonnegative definite $p\times p$ matrix, that $[X,Y]^\top = [Y^\top, X^\top]$ and that for any nonrandom matrices $A\in\mathbb{M}_{m\times p}, C\in\mathbb{M}_{r\times n}$ and semimartingales $X\in\mathbb{M}_{p\times q}, Y\in\mathbb{M}_{q\times r}$

$$[AX, YC] = A[X,Y]C. \tag{3}$$

The natural example of a continuous semimartingale is the standard complex $d\times q$ matrix Brownian motion $B = \{B_t\}_{t\geq 0} = \{(b_{jl}(t))\}_{t\geq 0}$ consisting of independent $\mathbb{C}$-valued Brownian motions $b_{jl}(t) = \mathrm{Re}(b_{jl}(t)) + \mathrm{i}\,\mathrm{Im}(b_{jl}(t))$ where $\mathrm{Re}(b_{jl}(t)), \mathrm{Im}(b_{jl}(t))$ are independent one-dimensional Brownian motions with common variance $t/2$. Then we have $[B,B^*]_t^{ij} = \sum_{k=1}^q [b_{ik}, \overline{b}_{jk}]_t = qt\delta_{ij}$ and hence the matrix quadratic variation of B is given by the $d\times d$ matrix process

$$[B,B^*]_t = qt\mathrm{I}_d. \tag{4}$$

The case $q=1$ corresponds to the $\mathbb{C}^d$-valued standard Brownian motion B. We observe this corresponds to $[B,B^*]_t = t\mathrm{I}_d$ instead of the common $2t\mathrm{I}_d$ used in the literature.

Other examples of complex matrix semimartingales are Lévy processes considered next.

Complex matrix Lévy processes An infinitely divisible random matrix M in $\mathbb{M}_{d\times q}$ is characterized by the Lévy-Khintchine representation of its Fourier transform $\mathbb{E}e^{\mathrm{itr}(\Theta^* M)} = \exp(\psi(\Theta))$ with Laplace exponent

$$\psi(\Theta) = \mathrm{itr}(\Theta^*\Psi\ ) - \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{tr}\,(\Theta^*\mathcal{A}\Theta^*) + \int_{\mathbb{M}_{d\times q}} \left(e^{\mathrm{itr}(\Theta^*\xi)} - 1 - \mathrm{i}\frac{\mathrm{tr}(\Theta^*\xi)}{1+\|\xi\|^2} \right) \nu(\mathrm{d}\xi),\ \Theta\in\mathbb{M}_{d\times q}, \tag{5}$$

where $\mathcal{A} : \mathbb{M}_{q\times d} \to \mathbb{M}_{d\times q}$ is a positive symmetric linear operator (*i.e.* $\mathrm{tr}\,(\Phi^*\mathcal{A}\Phi^*) \geq 0$ for $\Phi\in\mathbb{M}_{d\times q}$ and $\mathrm{tr}\,(\Theta_2^*\mathcal{A}\Theta_1^*) = \mathrm{tr}\,(\Theta_1^*\mathcal{A}\Theta_2^*)$ for $\Theta_1, \Theta_2 \in \mathbb{M}_{d\times q}$), ν is a measure on $\mathbb{M}_{d\times q}$ (the Lévy measure) satisfying $\nu(\{0\}) = 0$ and $\int_{\mathbb{M}_{d\times q}} (\|x\|^2 \wedge 1)\nu(\mathrm{d}x) < \infty$, and $\Psi\in\mathbb{M}_{d\times q}$. The triplet $(\mathcal{A}, \nu, \Psi)$ uniquely determines the distribution of M.

Remark 1 *The notation $\mathcal{A}\Theta^*$ means the linear operator $\mathcal{A}$ from $\mathbb{M}_{q\times d}$ to $\mathbb{M}_{d\times q}$ acting on $\Theta^*\in\mathbb{M}_{q\times d}$. Some interesting examples of $\mathcal{A}$ and its corresponding matrix Gaussian distributions are:*

(a) $\mathcal{A}\Theta^* = \Theta$. This corresponds to a Gaussian matrix distribution invariant under right and left unitary transformations in $\mathbb{U}_d$ and $\mathbb{U}_q$, respectively.

(b) $\mathcal{A}\Theta^* = \Sigma_1\Theta\Sigma_2$ for $\Sigma_1\in\overline{\mathbb{M}}_d^+$ and $\Sigma_2\in\overline{\mathbb{M}}_q^+$. In this case the corresponding matrix Gaussian distribution is denoted by $\mathrm{N}_{d\times q}(0, \Sigma_1\otimes\Sigma_2)$ and $\Sigma_1\otimes\Sigma_2$ is called a Kronecker covariance. It holds that if N has the distribution $\mathrm{N}_{d\times q}(0, \mathrm{I}_d\otimes\mathrm{I}_q)$, then $\Sigma_1^{1/2}N\Sigma_2^{1/2}$ has distribution $\mathrm{N}_{d\times q}(0, \Sigma_1\otimes\Sigma_2)$.

(c) When $q=d$, $\mathcal{A}\Theta^* = \mathrm{tr}(\Theta)\mathrm{I}_d$ is the covariance operator of the Gaussian random matrix $g\mathrm{I}_d$ where g is a one-dimensional random variable with a standard Gaussian distribution.

Let $\mathbb{S}_{d\times q}$ the unit sphere of $\mathbb{M}_{d\times q}$ and let $\mathbb{M}_{d\times q}^0 = \mathbb{M}_{d\times q}\backslash\{0\}$. If ν is a Lévy measure on $\mathbb{S}_{d\times q}$, then there are a measure λ on $\mathbb{S}_{d\times q}$ with $\lambda(\mathbb{S}_{d\times q}) \geq 0$ and a measure ν_ξ for each $\xi\in\mathbb{S}_{d\times q}$ with $\nu_\xi((0,\infty)) > 0$ such that

$$\nu(E) = \int_{\mathbb{S}_{d\times q}} \lambda(\mathrm{d}\xi) \int_{(0,\infty)} 1_E(u\xi)\nu_\xi(\mathrm{d}u), \qquad E\in\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{M}_{d\times q}^0).$$

We call (λ, ν_ξ) a polar decomposition of ν. When $d=q=1$, ν is a Lévy measure on $\mathbb{R}$ and λ is a measure in the unit sphere $\mathbb{S}_{1\times 1} = \{-1, 1\}$ of $\mathbb{R}$.

Any $\mathbb{M}_{d\times q}$-valued Lévy process $L=\{L_t\}_{t\geq 0}$ with triplet $(\mathcal{A},\nu,\Psi)$ is a semimartingale with the Lévy-Itô decomposition

$$L_t = t\Psi + B_{\mathcal{A}}(t) + \int_{[0,t]}\int_{\|x\|\leq 1} x\widetilde{J}_L(\mathrm{d}s,\mathrm{d}x) + \int_{[0,t]}\int_{\|x\|>1} xJ_L(\mathrm{d}s,\mathrm{d}x),\ t\geq 0, \tag{6}$$

where:

(a) $\{B_{\mathcal{A}}(t)\}_{t\geq 0}$ is a $\mathbb{M}_{d\times q}$-valued Brownian motion with covariance $\mathcal{A}$, i.e. it is a Lévy process with continuous sample paths (a.s.) and each $B_{\mathcal{A}}(t)$ is centered Gaussian with

$$\mathbb{E}\left\{\mathrm{tr}(\Theta_1^* B_{\mathcal{A}}(t))\mathrm{tr}\left(\Theta_2^* B_{\mathcal{A}}(s)\right)\right\} = \min(s,t)\mathrm{tr}\left(\Theta_1^*\mathcal{A}\Theta_2^*\right) \text{ for each } \Theta_1,\Theta_2\in\mathbb{M}_{d\times q},$$

(b) $J_L(\cdot)$ is the Poisson random measure of jumps on $[0,\infty)\times\mathbb{M}^0_{d\times q}$. That is, $J_L(t,E)=\#\left\{(0\leq s\leq t:\Delta L_s\in E\right\}$, $E\in\mathbb{M}^0_{d\times q}$, with intensity measure $Leb\otimes\nu$, and independent of $\{B_{\mathcal{A}}(t)\}_{t\geq 0}$,

(c) $\widetilde{J}_L$ is the compensator measure of J_L, i.e.

$$\widetilde{J}_L(\mathrm{d}t,\mathrm{d}x) = J_L(\mathrm{d}t,\mathrm{d}x) - \mathrm{d}t\nu(\mathrm{d}x);$$

see for example [1] for the most general case of Lévy processes with values in infinite dimensional Banach spaces.

An $\mathbb{M}_{d\times q}$-valued Lévy process $L=\{L_t\}_{t\geq 0}$ has finite variation if and only if its Lévy-Itô decomposition takes the form

$$L_t = t\Psi_0 + \int_{[0,t]}\int_{\mathbb{M}^0_{d\times q}} xJ_L(\mathrm{d}s,\mathrm{d}x) = t\Psi_0 + \sum_{s\leq t}\Delta L_s,\ t\geq 0, \tag{7}$$

where $\Psi_0 = \Psi - \int_{\|x\|\leq 1} x\nu(\mathrm{d}x)$.

The matrix quadratic variation (2) of L is given by the $\mathbb{M}_d^+$-process

$$[L]_t = [B_{\mathcal{A}}, B_{\mathcal{A}}^*]_t + \int_{[0,t]}\int_{\mathbb{M}^0_{d\times q}} xx^* J_L(\mathrm{d}s,\mathrm{d}x) = [B_{\mathcal{A}}, B_{\mathcal{A}}^*]_t + \sum_{s\leq t}\Delta L_s\Delta L_s^*. \tag{8}$$

In Section 3 we prove a partial converse of the last result in the case $q=1$.

Remark 2 *On the lines of Remark 1 we have the following observations for the quadratic variation of the continuous part in (8):*

(a) When $\mathcal{A}\Theta^*=\Theta$, $[B_{\mathcal{A}}, B_{\mathcal{A}}^*]_t = qt\mathrm{I}_d$. *This follows from (4) since* $B_{\mathcal{A}}(t)$ *is a standard complex* $d\times q$ *matrix Brownian motion.*

(b) When $\mathcal{A}\Theta^* = \Sigma_1\Theta\Sigma_2$ *for* $\Sigma_1\in\overline{\mathbb{M}}_d^+$ *and* $\Sigma_2\in\overline{\mathbb{M}}_q^+$, *we have that* $B_{\mathcal{A}}(t)=\Sigma_1^{1/2}B(t)\Sigma_2^{1/2}$ *where* $B=\{B_t\}_{t\geq 0}$ *is a standard complex* $d\times q$ *matrix Brownian motion. Then, using (3) we have*

$$[B_{\mathcal{A}}, B_{\mathcal{A}}^*]_t = \left[\Sigma_1^{1/2}B\Sigma_2^{1/2}, \Sigma_2^{1/2}B^*\Sigma_1^{1/2}\right]_t = \Sigma_1^{1/2}\left[B\Sigma_2^{1/2}, \Sigma_2^{1/2}B^*\right]_t\Sigma_1^{1/2} = t\mathrm{tr}(\Sigma_2)\Sigma_1,$$

where we have also used the easily checked fact $\left[B\Sigma_2^{1/2}, \Sigma_2^{1/2}B^*\right]_t = t\mathrm{tr}(\Sigma_2)$.

(c) When $q=d$ *and* $\mathcal{A}\Theta^*=\mathrm{tr}(\Theta)\mathrm{I}_d$, *we have* $[B_{\mathcal{A}}, B_{\mathcal{A}}^*]_t = t\mathrm{I}_d$ *since* $B_{\mathcal{A}}(t)=b(t)\mathrm{I}_d$ *where* $b=\{b(t)\}_{t\geq 0}$ *is a one-dimensional Brownian motion.*

Approximation of Lévy processes The following are useful results on the sample path approximation of complex matrix Lévy processes; see [10, Th 15.17] and [14, Th. 8.7]. They follow from their corresponding real vector case by the usual identification of $\mathbb{M}_{d\times q}\to\mathbb{R}^{2dq}$ via $A\to\mathrm{vec}(A)$, $A\in\mathbb{M}_{d\times q}$ and the fact that $\mathrm{tr}\left(A^*B\right)=\mathrm{vec}(A)^*\mathrm{vec}(B)$, where $\mathrm{vec}(A)$ is the dq column complex vector obtained by stacking the columns of A one down each other.

Proposition 3 *Let $L, L^1, L^2, ...$ be complex matrix Lévy processes in $\mathbb{M}_{d\times q}$ with $L^n(1) \overset{\mathcal{L}}{\to} L(1)$. Then there exist processes $\tilde{L}^n$ with the same distribution that L^n such that*

$$\sup_{0\leq s\leq t}\left|\tilde{L}_s^n - L_s\right| \overset{\Pr}{\to} 0 \qquad \forall t \geq 0.$$

Proposition 4 *Let $M^n, n = 1, 2, ...$ be infinitely divisible random matrices in $\mathbb{M}_{d\times q}$ with triplet $(\mathcal{A}^n, \nu^n, \Psi^n)$. Let M be a random matrix in $\mathbb{M}_{d\times q}$. Then $M^n \overset{\mathcal{L}}{\to} M$ if and only if M is infinitely divisible whose triplet $(\mathcal{A}, \nu, \Psi)$ satisfies the following three conditions:*
a) If $f : \mathbb{M}_{d\times q} \to \mathbb{M}_{d\times q}$ is bounded and continuous function vanishing in a neighborhood of 0 then

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\int_{\mathbb{M}_{d\times q}} f(\xi)\nu^n(\mathrm{d}\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{M}_{d\times q}} f(\xi)\nu(\mathrm{d}\xi).$$

b) Define the positive symmetric operator $\mathcal{A}^{n,\epsilon} : \mathbb{M}_{q\times d} \to \mathbb{M}_{d\times q}$ by

$$\mathrm{tr}\,(\Theta^*\mathcal{A}^{n,\epsilon}\Theta^*) = \mathrm{tr}\,(\Theta^*\mathcal{A}^n\Theta^*) + \int_{\|\xi\|\leq\varepsilon} |\mathrm{tr}\,(\Theta^*\xi)|^2\,\nu_n(\mathrm{d}\xi) \quad \text{for } \Theta \in \mathbb{M}_{d\times q}.$$

Then

$$\lim_{\varepsilon\downarrow 0}\limsup_{n\to\infty} |\mathrm{tr}\,(\Theta^*\mathcal{A}^{n,\epsilon}\Theta^*) - \mathrm{tr}\,(\Theta^*\mathcal{A}\Theta^*)| = 0, \qquad \text{for } \Theta \in \mathbb{M}_{d\times q}.$$

c) $\Psi^n \to \Psi$.

3 BGCD random matrix ensembles

We now consider the matrix Lévy processes associated to the BGCD matrix ensembles $(M_d)_{d\geq 1}$ mentioned in the introduction.

When μ is the standard Gaussian distribution, M_d is a Gaussian unitary invariant random matrix, $\Lambda(\mu)$ is the semicircle distribution and $\{M_d(t)\}_{t\geq 0}$ is the Hermitian matrix-valued process given by $M_d(t) = \left(1/\sqrt{d+1}\right)(B_t + dg_t\mathrm{I}_d)$ where B_t is a $d \times d$ Hermitian matrix Brownian motion independent of the one-dimensional Brownian motion g_t; see [5, Remark 3.5].

Likewise, if μ is the Poisson distribution with parameter $\lambda > 0$, $\{M_d(t)\}_{t\geq 0}$ is the $d \times d$ matrix compound Poisson process $M_d(t) = \sum_{k=1}^{N_t} u_k^d u_k^{d*}$ where $\left\{u_k^d\right\}_{k\geq 1}$ is a sequence of independent uniformly distributed random vectors on the unit sphere of $\mathbb{C}^d$ independent of the Poisson process $\{N_t\}_{t\geq 0}$, and $\Lambda(\mu)$ is the Marchenko-Pastur distribution of parameter $\lambda > 0$; see [5, Remark 3.2]. We observe that in this case $\{M_d(t)\}_{t\geq 0}$ is a matrix covariation (quadratic) process rather than a covariance matrix process as in the Wishart or other empirical covariance processes.

Proposition 5 below collects computations in [5], [8] and [9] to summarize the Lévy triplet of a general BGCD matrix ensemble in an explicit manner. We write $\mathbb{S}(\overline{\mathbb{H}}_{d(1)}) = \overline{\mathbb{H}}_{d(1)} \cap \mathbb{S}_{d\times d}$.

Proposition 5 *Let μ be an infinitely divisible distribution in $\mathbb{R}$ with Lévy triplet (a^2, ψ, ν) and let $(M_d)_{d\geq 1}$ be a BGCD matrix ensemble for $\Lambda(\mu)$. Then, for each $d \geq 1$ M_d has the Lévy-Khintchine representation (5) with Lévy triplet $(\mathcal{A}_d, \Psi_d, \nu_d)$ where*

a) $\Psi_d = \psi d\mathrm{I}_d$

b)

$$\mathcal{A}_d\Theta = a^2\frac{1}{d+1}(\Theta + \mathrm{tr}(\Theta)\mathrm{I}_d), \Theta \in \mathbb{H}_d. \tag{9a}$$

c)

$$\nu_d\,(E) = d\int_{\mathbb{S}(\overline{\mathbb{H}}_{d(1)})}\int_0^\infty 1_E\,(rV)\,\nu_V\,(\mathrm{d}r)\,\Pi\,(\mathrm{d}V)\,, \quad E \in \mathcal{B}\,(\mathbb{H}_d\backslash\,\{0\})\,, \tag{10}$$

where $\nu_V = \nu|_{(0,\infty)}$ *or* $\nu|_{(-\infty,0)}$ *according to* $V \geq 0$ *or* $V \leq 0$ *and* Π *is a measure on* $\mathbb{S}(\mathbb{H}_{d(1)})$ *such that*

$$\Pi(D) = \int\limits_{\mathbb{S}(\mathbb{H}_{d(1)})\cap\overline{\mathbb{H}}_d^+} \int\limits_{\{-1,1\}} 1_D(tV)\,\lambda(\mathrm{d}t)\,\omega_d(\mathrm{d}V), \quad D \in \mathcal{B}\left(\mathbb{S}(\mathbb{H}_{d(1)})\right),$$

where λ *is the spherical measure of* ν *and* ω_d *is the probability measure on* $\mathbb{S}(\mathbb{H}_{d(1)}) \cap \overline{\mathbb{H}}_d^+$ *given by the probability measure on the set of matrices of rank-one induced by the transformation* $u \to V = uu^*$, *where* u *is a uniformly distributed column random vector in the unit sphere of* $\mathbb{C}^d$.

Proof. (a) It follows from the first term in the Lévy exponent of M_d in page 635 of [8], where the notation $\Lambda_d(\mu)$ is used for the distribution of M_d. For (b), the form of the covariance operator $\mathcal{A}_d$ was implicitly computed in the first example in Section II.C of [8]. Finally the polar decomposition (10) was found in [9]. ■

The Lévy-Itô decomposition of the Lévy process associated to the BGCD model M_d is given by

$$M_d(t) = \psi t d\mathrm{I}_d + B_{\mathcal{A}}(t) + \int_{[0,t]}\int_{\{\|x\|\leq 1\}\cap\mathbb{H}_{d(1)}} x\widetilde{J}_d(\mathrm{d}s,\mathrm{d}x) + \int_{[0,t]}\int_{\{\|x\|>1\}\cap\mathbb{H}_{d(1)}} xJ_d(\mathrm{d}s,\mathrm{d}x), \tag{11}$$

where $t \geq 0$, $\mathcal{A}_d\Theta = a^2\frac{1}{d+1}(\Theta + \mathrm{tr}(\Theta)\mathrm{I}_d)$, $J_d(t,E) = \#\{0 \leq s \leq t : \Delta M_d(s) \in E\} = J_d(t, E\cap\mathbb{H}_{d(1)})$ for any measurable $E \in \mathbb{M}_d^0$. Its quadratic variation is the matrix subordinator

$$[M_d]_t = a^2 t\mathrm{I}_d + \int_{[0,t]}\int_{\mathbb{M}^0_{d(1)}} xx^* J_d(\mathrm{d}s,\mathrm{d}x) = a^2 t\mathrm{I}_d + \sum_{s\leq t}\Delta M_d(s)\left(\Delta M_d(s)\right)^*.$$

4 Bounded variation case

It is well know that the quadratic variation of a one-dimensional Lévy process is a subordinator. The following result gives a converse and a generalization to matrix subordinators with rank-one jumps. The one dimensional case is given in [15, Lemma 6.5].

Theorem 6 *Let* $L_d = \{L_d(t) : t \geq 0\}$ *be a Lévy process in* $\mathbb{M}_d^+(\mathbb{R})$ *whose jumps are of rank one almost surely. Then there exists a Lévy process* $X = \{X(t) : t \geq 0\}$ *in* $\mathbb{R}^d$ *such that* $L_d(t) = [X]_t$.

Proof. We construct X as a Lévy-Itô decomposition realization. For each $d \geq 1$, $L_d = \{L_d(t) : t \geq 0\}$ is an $\mathbb{M}_d^+(\mathbb{R})$-process of bounded variation with Lévy-Itô decomposition

$$L_d(t) = \Psi_0 t + \int_{[0,t]}\int_{\mathbb{M}^+_{d(1)}(\mathbb{R})\setminus\{0\}} xJ_{L_d}(\mathrm{d}s,\mathrm{d}x),\ t \geq 0,$$

where $\Psi_0 \in \mathbb{M}_d^+(\mathbb{R})$ and J_{L_d} is the Poisson random measure of L_t. Let ν_{L_d} be the intensity measure of J_{L_d}.

Let $C_1^d = \{x = (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n) : x_1 > 0\}$ and $C_2^d = \{x = (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n) : x_1 \leq 0\}$. Note that C_1^d and C_2^d form a partition of $\mathbb{R}^d$. Let $\varphi_j : \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{M}^+_{d(1)}(\mathbb{R}) \to \mathbb{R}_+ \times C_j^d$ be defined as $\varphi_j(t,V) = (t,x)$ where $V = xx^\intercal$ and $x \in C_j^d$ for $j = 1,2$. Let $\overline{\varphi}_j : \mathbb{M}^+_{d(1)}(\mathbb{R}) \to C_j^d$ be defined by $\overline{\varphi}_j(V) = x$ for $V = xx^\intercal$ and $x \in C_j^d$ for $j = 1,2$.

Let us define $J_X^j(\mathrm{d}s,\mathrm{d}x) = \left(J_{L_d}\circ\varphi_j^{-1}\right)(\mathrm{d}s,\mathrm{d}x)$ for $j = 1,2$, the random measure induced by the transformation φ_j which is a Poisson random measure on $\mathbb{R}_+\times C_j^d$. Observe that $\mathbb{E}\left[J_X^j(t,A)\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[J^j_{L_d}\circ\varphi_j^{-1}(\{t\}\times A)\right] = t\nu_{L_d}\left(\overline{\varphi}_j^{-1}(A)\right) = t\left(\nu_{L_d}\circ\overline{\varphi}_j^{-1}\right)(A)$ for $A \in \mathcal{B}\left(C_j^d\setminus\{0\}\right)$. Let us denote $\nu_X^j = \nu_{L_d}\circ\overline{\varphi}_j^{-1}$ which is a Lévy measure on C_j^d since

$$\int_{C_j^d}\left(1\wedge|x|^2\right)\nu_X^j(\mathrm{d}x) = \int_{C_j^d}\left(1\wedge|x|^2\right)\nu_{L_d}\circ\overline{\varphi}_j^{-1}(\mathrm{d}x) = \int_{C_j^d}\left(1\wedge\mathrm{tr}\left(xx^\intercal\right)\right)\nu_{L_d}\circ\overline{\varphi}_j^{-1}(\mathrm{d}x)$$

$$= \int_{C_j^d} (1 \wedge \mathrm{tr}(V)) \left(\nu_{L_d} \circ \overline{\varphi}_j^{-1}\right) \circ f^{-1}(\mathrm{d}V) = \int_{\mathbb{M}_{d(1)}^+} (1 \wedge \mathrm{tr}(V)) \, \nu_{L_d}(\mathrm{d}V) < \infty,$$

where $\left(\nu_{L_d} \circ \overline{\varphi}_j^{-1}\right) \circ f^{-1} = \nu_{L_d}$, with $f(x) = xx^{\intercal}$ and we have used that $\mathrm{tr}(V) \leq \alpha \|V\|$ for some constant α where $\|V\|$ denotes the Frobenius norm of V. Thus ν_X^j is the intensity measure of the Poisson random measure $J_{L_d}^j$.

Let us define $J_X(\mathrm{d}s, \mathrm{d}x) = \left(\frac{1}{2}J_X^1 + \frac{1}{2}J_X^2\right)(\mathrm{d}s, \mathrm{d}x)$ which is a Poisson random measure on $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^d$. Now let us define the measure $\nu_X = \frac{1}{2}\nu_{L_d} \circ \overline{\varphi}_1^{-1} + \frac{1}{2}\nu_{L_d} \circ \overline{\varphi}_2^{-1}$. Observe that ν_X is a Lévy measure on $\mathbb{R}^d$ which satisfies $\mathbb{E}[J_X(t, A)] = t\nu_X(A)$ for $A \in \mathcal{B}\left(\mathbb{R}^d \backslash \{0\}\right)$. Hence ν_X is the intensity measure of J_X.

Let us take the Lévy process in $\mathbb{R}^d$

$$X(t) = |\Psi_0|^{1/2} B_{\mathcal{A}}(t) + \int_{[0,t]} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \cap \{|x| \leq 1\}} x\widetilde{J}_X(\mathrm{d}s, \mathrm{d}x) + \int_{[0,t]} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \cap \{|x| > 1\}} xJ_X(\mathrm{d}s, \mathrm{d}x), \; t \geq 0, \tag{13}$$

$B_{\mathcal{A}}$ is a $\mathbb{R}^d$-valued standard Brownian motion with covariance $\mathcal{A}$. Then its quadratic variation is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
[X]_t &= \left[|\Psi_0|^{1/2} B_{\mathcal{A}}, B_{\mathcal{A}}^* |\Psi_0|^{1/2}\right] + \int_{[0,t]} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \backslash \{0\}} xx^* J_X(\mathrm{d}s, \mathrm{d}x) \\
&= \Psi_0 t I_d + \int_{[0,t]} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \backslash \{0\}} xx^{\intercal} \left(\tfrac{1}{2}J_X^1 + \tfrac{1}{2}J_X^2\right)(\mathrm{d}s, \mathrm{d}x) \\
&= \Psi_0 t + \tfrac{1}{2} \int_{[0,t]} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \backslash \{0\}} xx^{\intercal} J_{L_d} \circ \varphi_1^{-1}(\mathrm{d}s, \mathrm{d}x) + \tfrac{1}{2} \int_{[0,t]} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \backslash \{0\}} xx^{\intercal} J_{L_d} \circ \varphi_2^{-1}(\mathrm{d}s, \mathrm{d}x) \\
&= \Psi_0 t + \tfrac{1}{2} \int_{[0,t]} \int_{\mathbb{M}_{d(1)}^+ \backslash \{0\}} V \left(J_{L_d} \circ \varphi_1^{-1}\right) \circ h^{-1}(\mathrm{d}s, \mathrm{d}V) \\
&+ \tfrac{1}{2} \int_{[0,t]} \int_{\mathbb{M}_{d(1)}^+ \backslash \{0\}} V \left(J_{L_d} \circ \varphi_2^{-1}\right) \circ h^{-1}(\mathrm{d}s, \mathrm{d}V) \\
&= \Psi_0 t + \tfrac{1}{2} \int_{[0,t]} \int_{\mathbb{M}_{d(1)}^+ \backslash \{0\}} V J_{L_d}(\mathrm{d}s, \mathrm{d}V) + \tfrac{1}{2} \int_{[0,t]} \int_{\mathbb{M}_{d(1)}^+ \backslash \{0\}} V J_{L_d}(\mathrm{d}s, \mathrm{d}V) = L_t,
\end{aligned}$$

where $\left(J_{L_d} \circ \varphi_j^{-1}\right) \circ h^{-1} = J_{L_d}$, with $h(t, x) = (t, xx^{\intercal})$. ■

For the general bounded variation case we have

Theorem 7 *Let $L_d = \{L_d(t) : t \geq 0\}$ be a Lévy process in $\mathbb{M}_d(\mathbb{R})$ of bounded variation whose jumps are of rank one almost surely. Then there exist Lévy processes $X = \{X(t) : t \geq 0\}$ and $Y = \{Y(t) : t \geq 0\}$ in $\mathbb{R}^d$ such that $L_d(t) = [X]_t - [Y]_t$.*

Proof. For each $d \geq 1$, $L_d = \{L_d(t) : t \geq 0\}$ is an $\mathbb{M}_d(\mathbb{R})$-process of bounded variation with Lévy-Itô decomposition

$$L_d(t) = \Psi t + \int_{[0,t]} \int_{\mathbb{M}_{d(1)}(\mathbb{R}) \backslash \{0\}} xJ_{L_d}(\mathrm{d}s, \mathrm{d}x), \; t \geq 0, \tag{14}$$

where $\Psi \in \mathbb{M}_d(\mathbb{R})$ and J_{L_d} is the Poisson random measure of L_t. Observe that $L_d = L_d^1 - L_d^2$ where L_d^1 and L_d^2 are increasing Lévy processes in $\mathbb{M}_d^+(\mathbb{R})$, if we take their corresponding Lévy-Itô decompositions with $\Psi_1 \geq 0$, $J_{L_d}^1$ and $\Psi_2 \geq 0$, $J_{L_d}^2$ then $\Psi = \Psi_1 - \Psi_2$.

Following the terminology in the proof of Theorem 6 we construct Lévy processes X and Y in $\mathbb{R}^d$ as Lévy-Itô decompositions with same covariance $\mathcal{A}$,

$$X(t) = |\Psi_1|^{1/2} B_{\mathcal{A}}(t) + \int_{[0,t]} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \cap \{|x| \leq 1\}} x\widetilde{J}_X(\mathrm{d}s, \mathrm{d}x) + \int_{[0,t]} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \cap \{|x| > 1\}} xJ_X(\mathrm{d}s, \mathrm{d}x), \; t \geq 0,$$

$$Y(t) = |\Psi_2|^{1/2}\, B_{\mathcal{A}}(t) + \int_{[0,t]}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d\cap\{|x|\le 1\}} x\widetilde{J}_Y(\mathrm{d}s,\mathrm{d}x) + \int_{[0,t]}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d\cap\{|x|>1\}} xJ_Y(\mathrm{d}s,\mathrm{d}x),\ t\ge 0,$$

where $J_X(\mathrm{d}s,\mathrm{d}x) = \left(\frac{1}{2}J_X^1 + \frac{1}{2}J_X^2\right)(\mathrm{d}s,\mathrm{d}x)$ is constructed in terms of $\varphi_j : \mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{M}_{d(1)}^{+} \to C_j^d$ and the Poisson random measure $J_Y(\mathrm{d}s,\mathrm{d}x)$ on $\mathbb{R}^d$ is constructed similarly by using the induced measure $J_{L_d}(t,\cdot)\circ\beta_j^{-1}(\cdot)$ for the transformation $\beta_j : \mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{M}_{d(1)}^{-} \to C_j^d$ defined as $\beta_j(t,V) = (t,x)$ where $V = -xx^{\intercal}$ and $x\in C_j^d$ for $j=1,2$.

Observe that

$$[X]_t = \Psi_1 t + \int_{[0,t]}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d\backslash\{0\}} xx^{\intercal} J_X(\mathrm{d}s,\mathrm{d}x) = \Psi_1 t + \int_{[0,t]}\int_{\mathbb{M}_{d(1)}^{+}\backslash\{0\}} V J_{L_d}(\mathrm{d}s,\mathrm{d}V)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}[Y]_t &= \Psi_2 t + \int_{[0,t]}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d\backslash\{0\}} xx^{\intercal} J_Y(\mathrm{d}s,\mathrm{d}x) = \Psi_2 t - \int_{[0,t]}\int_{\mathbb{C}^d\backslash\{0\}} \left(-xx^{\intercal}\right) J_Y(t,\mathrm{d}x) \\ &= \Psi_2 t - \int_{[0,t]}\int_{\mathbb{M}_{d(1)}^{-}\backslash\{0\}} V J_{L_d}(\mathrm{d}s,\mathrm{d}V).\end{aligned}$$

The result follows from (14). ■

Next we consider the matrix Lévy processes associated to the real BGCD matrix ensembles $(M_d)_{d\ge 1}$ mentioned in the Introduction. In this case for each $d\ge 1$, M_d is a $d\times d$ real symmetric random matrix whose distribution is invariant under orthogonal transformations and infinitely divisible in $\mathbb{M}_d(\mathbb{R})$ with Lévy measure concentrated in rank one matrices.

We have the following two consequences of the former results.

Corollary 8 *Let $M_d = \{M_d(t) : t\ge 0\}$ be the matrix Lévy process associated to the real BGCD random matrix ensembles.*

a) Let μ be the infinitely divisible distribution with triplet $(0,\psi,\nu)$ associated to M_d such that $\int_{|x|\le 1}(1\wedge x)\,\nu(\mathrm{d}x) < \infty$, $\nu((-\infty,0]) = 0$ and $\psi_0 := \psi - \int_{x\le 1} x\nu(\mathrm{d}x) \ge 0$. Let us consider the Lévy-Itô decomposition of $M_d(t)$ in $\mathbb{M}_d^{+}(\mathbb{R})$

$$M_d(t) = \psi_0 t d + \int_{[0,t]}\int_{\mathbb{M}_{d(1)}^{+}(\mathbb{R})\backslash\{0\}} xJ_{M_d}(\mathrm{d}s,\mathrm{d}x).$$

Then there exists a Lévy process $X = \{X(t) : t\ge 0\}$ in $\mathbb{R}^d$ such that $M_d(t) = [X]_t$, where

$$X(t) = |\psi_0|^{1/2}\, B_{\mathcal{A}}(t) + \int_{[0,t]}\int_{\mathbb{C}^d\cap\backslash\{|x|\le 1\}} x\widetilde{J}_X(\mathrm{d}s,\mathrm{d}x) + \int_{[0,t]}\int_{\mathbb{C}^d\cap\backslash\{|x|>1\}} xJ_X(\mathrm{d}s,\mathrm{d}x),\ t\ge 0,$$

$B_{\mathcal{A}}$ is a $\mathbb{R}^d$-valued standard Brownian motion with covariance $\mathcal{A}$, the Poisson random measure J_X is given by $J_X(\mathrm{d}s,\mathrm{d}x) = \left(\frac{1}{2}J_{M_d}\circ\varphi_1^{-1} + \frac{1}{2}J_{M_d}\circ\varphi_2^{-1}\right)(\mathrm{d}s,\mathrm{d}x)$.

b) If M_d has bounded variation then there exist Lévy processes $X = \{X(t) : t\ge 0\}$ and $Y = \{Y(t) : t\ge 0\}$ in $\mathbb{R}^d$ such that $M_d(t) = [X]_t - [Y]_t$.

Remark 9 *The idea of the proof of the above results does not apply to the case of Hermitian random matrices. This is due to the fact that the unit sphere in $\mathbb{C}$ is uncountable, while in $\mathbb{R}$ it has two points. More specifically, a decomposition of $\mathbb{C}^d$ in a finite or countable union of sets C_j^d as was done for $\mathbb{R}^d$ in the proof of Theorem 6 is not longer possible. The following section presents some other covariation representations for the Hermitian case.*

5 Covariation matrix processes approximation

We now consider approximation of general BGCD ensembles by BGCD matrix compound Poisson processes which are covariation of $\mathbb{C}^d$-valued Lévy processes.

The following results gives realizations of BGCD ensembles of compound Poisson type as the covariation of two $\mathbb{C}^d$-valued Lévy processes. Its proof is straightforward.

Proposition 10 *Let μ be a compound Poisson distribution on $\mathbb{R}$ Lévy measure ν and drift $\psi \in \mathbb{R}$ and let $(M_d)_{d\geq 1}$ be the BGCD matrix ensemble for $\Lambda(\mu)$. For each $d \geq 1$, assume that*

i) $(\beta_j)_{j\geq 1}$ is a sequence of i.i.d. random variables with distribution $\nu/\nu(\mathbb{R})$.

ii) $(u_j)_{j\geq 1}$ is a sequence of i.i.d. random vectors with uniform distribution on the unit sphere of $\mathbb{C}^d$.

iii) $\{N_t\}_{t\geq 0}$ is a Poisson process with parameter one.

Assume that $(\beta_j)_{j\geq 1}$, $(u_j)_{j\geq 1}$ and $\{N_t\}_{t\geq 0}$ are independent. Then

a) M_d has the same distribution as $M_d(1)$ where

$$M_d(t) = \psi \mathrm{I}_d + \sum_{j=1}^{N_t} \beta_j u_j u_j^*, \quad t \geq 0. \tag{15}$$

b) $M_d(\cdot) = [X_d, Y_d]_{(\cdot)}$ where $X_d = \{X_d(t)\}_{t\geq 0}$, $Y_d = \{Y_d(t)\}_{t\geq 0}$ are the $\mathbb{C}^d$-valued Lévy processes

$$X_d(t) = \sqrt{|\psi|} B_t + \sum_{j=1}^{N_t} \sqrt{|\beta_j|} u_j, \quad t \geq 0, \tag{16}$$

$$Y_d(t) = \operatorname{sign}(\psi) \sqrt{|\psi|} B_t + \sum_{j=1}^{N_t} \operatorname{sign}(\beta_j) \sqrt{|\beta_j|} u_j, \quad t \geq 0, \tag{17}$$

and $B = \{B_t\}_{t\geq 0}$ is a $\mathbb{C}^d$-valued standard Brownian motion independent of $(\beta_j)_{j\geq 1}$, $(u_j)_{j\geq 1}$ and $\{N_t\}_{t\geq 0}$.

For the general case we have the following sample path approximation by covariation processes for Lévy processes generated by the BGCD matrix ensembles.

Theorem 11 *Let μ be an infinitely divisible distribution on $\mathbb{R}$ with triplet (a^2, ψ, ν) and let $(M_d)_{d\geq 1}$ be the corresponding BGCD matrix ensemble for $\Lambda(\mu)$. Let $d \geq 1$ fixed and assume that for $n \geq 1$*

i) $(\beta_j^n)_{j\geq 1}$ is a sequence of i.i.d. random variables with distribution $\mu^{*\frac{1}{n}}$.

ii) $(u_j^n)_{j\geq 1}$ is a sequence of i.i.d. random vectors with uniform distribution on the unit sphere of $\mathbb{C}^d$.

iii) $N^n = \{N_t^n\}_{t\geq 0}$ is a Poisson process with parameter n.

iv) $B^n = \{B_t^n\}_{t\geq 0}$ is a $\mathbb{C}^d$-valued standard Brownian motion.

v) $(\beta_j^n)_{j\geq 1}$, $(u_j^n)_{j\geq 1}, N^n$ and B^n are independent.

Let

$$X_d^n(t) = \sqrt{|\psi|} B_t^n + \sum_{j=1}^{N_t^n} \sqrt{|\beta_j^n|} u_j^n, \quad t \geq 0, \tag{18}$$

$$Y_d^n(t) = \operatorname{sign}(\psi) \sqrt{|\psi|} B_t^n + \sum_{j=1}^{N_t^n} \operatorname{sign}(\beta_j^n) \sqrt{|\beta_j^n|} u_j^n, \quad t \geq 0. \tag{19}$$

Then for each $d \geq 1$ there exist $\mathbb{M}_d(\mathbb{C})$-valued processes $\widetilde{M}_d^n = \left\{\widetilde{M}_d^n(t)\right\}_{d\geq 1}$ such that $\widetilde{M}_d^n \overset{\mathcal{L}}{=} [X_d^n, Y_d^n]$,

$$\sup_{0<s\leq t} \left\| \widetilde{M}_d^n(s) - M_d(s) \right\| \xrightarrow[n\to\infty]{\Pr} 0, \quad \forall t \geq 0,$$

where $\{M_d(t) : t \geq 0\}$ is the $\mathbb{M}_d(\mathbb{C})$-valued Lévy process associated to $(M_d)_{d\geq 1}$.

Proof. By the compound Poisson approximation for infinitely divisible distributions on $\mathbb{R}$ (see for example [14, pp 45]), let μ_n be an infinitely divisible distribution such that $\mu_n \longrightarrow \mu$, where we take the triplet of μ_n as $(\mathcal{A}^n, \psi^n, \nu^n)$, $\mathcal{A}^n = 0$, $\psi^n = \int \frac{x}{1+|x|^2} \nu^n (dx)$ and $\nu^n = n\mu^{*\frac{1}{n}}$.
Following the proof of Theorem 10, on the same lines we have that

$$M_d^n := [X_d^n, Y_d^{n*}]_t = \psi t \mathrm{I}_d + \sum_{j=0}^{N_t^n} \beta_j^n u_j^n u_j^{n*},$$

which is a matrix value compound Poisson process with drift whose triplet $(\mathcal{A}_d^n, \psi_d^n, \nu_d^n)$ is given by $\mathcal{A}_d^n = 0$, $\psi_d^n = \psi \mathrm{I}_d$ and

$$\nu_d^n (E) = d \int_{\mathbb{S}(\mathbb{H}_{d(1)})} \int_0^\infty 1_E (rV) \, \nu_V^n (\mathrm{d}r) \, \Pi (\mathrm{d}V), \quad E \in \mathcal{B} (\mathbb{H}_d \backslash \{0\}), \tag{20}$$

where ν_V^n and Π are as in (10).
We will prove that $M_d^n \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}} M_d$ by showing that the triplet $(\mathcal{A}_d^n, \psi_d^n, \nu_d^n)$ converges to the triplet $(\mathcal{A}_d, \psi_d, \nu_d)$ of the BGCD matrix ensemble in Proposition 5 in the sense of Proposition 4:
We get $\psi_d^n \mathrm{I}_d = \psi \mathrm{I}_d \longrightarrow \psi \mathrm{I}_d$ as $n \longrightarrow \infty$.
Let $f : \mathbb{H}_{d(1)} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous bounded function vanishing in a neighborhood of zero. Using the polar decomposition (10) for ν_d^n we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{H}_{d(1)}} f(\xi) \, \nu_d^n (d\xi) &= d \int_{\mathbb{S}(\mathbb{H}_{d(1)})} \int_0^\infty f(rV) \, \nu_V^n (dr) \, \Pi (dV) \\ &= d \int_{\mathbb{S}(\mathbb{H}_{d(1)}) \cap \overline{\mathbb{H}}_d^+} \int_{\{-1,1\}} \int_0^\infty f(trV) \, \nu_V^n (dr) \, \lambda^n (dt) \, \omega_d (dV). \end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

For $V \in \mathbb{S}(\mathbb{H}_{d(1)}) \cap \overline{\mathbb{H}}_d^+$ fixed,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\{-1,1\}} \int_0^\infty f(trV) \, \nu_V^n (dr) \, \lambda^n (dt) = \lambda^n (\{1\}) \int_0^\infty f(rV) \, \nu^n (dr) \\ + \lambda^n (\{-1\}) \int_{-\infty}^0 f(rV) \, \nu^n (dr), \end{aligned}$$

and as a function of r, $f(rV)$ is a real valued continuous bounded function vanishing in a neighborhood of zero, for which

$$\lambda^n (\{1\}) \int_0^\infty f(rV) \, \nu^n (dr) \longrightarrow \lambda (\{1\}) \int_0^\infty f(rV) \, \nu (dr)$$

and

$$\lambda^n (\{-1\}) \int_{-\infty}^0 f(rV) \, \nu^n (dr) \longrightarrow \lambda (\{-1\}) \int_{-\infty}^0 f(rV) \, \nu (dr).$$

Then from (21)

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{H}_{d(1)}} f(\xi) \, \nu_d^n (d\xi) &\longrightarrow d \int_{\mathbb{S}(\mathbb{H}_{d(1)}) \cap \overline{\mathbb{H}}_d^+} \int_{\{-1,1\}} \int_0^\infty f(trV) \, \nu_V (dr) \, \lambda (dt) \, \omega_d (dV) \\ &= d \int_{\mathbb{S}(\mathbb{H}_{d(1)})} \int_0^\infty f(rV) \, \nu_d (dr) \, \Pi (dV) = \int_{\mathbb{H}_{d(1)}} f(\xi) \, \nu_d (d\xi). \end{aligned}$$

Next, we verify the convergence of the Gaussian part.

Let us define, for each $\varepsilon > 0$ and $n \geq 1$, the operator $\mathcal{A}^{n,\varepsilon} : \mathbb{H}_d \longrightarrow \mathbb{H}_d$ by

$$\operatorname{tr}\left(\Theta\mathcal{A}^{n,\varepsilon}\Theta\right) = \int_{\|\xi\|\leq\varepsilon} \left|\operatorname{tr}\left(\Theta\xi\right)\right|^2 \nu_d^n\left(d\xi\right).$$

From (20) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\|\xi\|\leq\varepsilon} \left|\operatorname{tr}\left(\Theta\xi\right)\right|^2 \nu_d^n\left(d\xi\right) \\
&= d\int_{\mathbb{S}(\mathbb{H}_{d(1)})}\int_0^\infty \mathbf{1}_{\{\|rV\|\leq\varepsilon\}}\left(rV\right)\left|\operatorname{tr}\left(r\Theta V\right)\right|^2 \nu_V^n\left(dr\right)\Pi\left(dV\right) \\
&= d\int_{\mathbb{S}(\mathbb{H}_{d(1)})\cap\overline{\mathbb{H}}_d^+}\int_{\{-1,1\}}\int_0^\infty \mathbf{1}_{\{r\leq\varepsilon\}}\left(rtV\right)r^2\left|\operatorname{tr}\left(\Theta V\right)\right|^2 \nu_V^n\left(dr\right)\lambda\left(dt\right)\omega_d\left(dV\right) \\
&= d\int_{\mathbb{S}(\mathbb{H}_{d(1)})\cap\overline{\mathbb{H}}_d^+}\int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbf{1}_{\{r\leq\varepsilon\}}\left(rV\right)r^2\left|\operatorname{tr}\left(\Theta V\right)\right|^2 \nu^n\left(dr\right)\omega_d\left(dV\right) \\
&= d\int_{\mathbb{S}(\mathbb{H}_{d(1)})\cap\overline{\mathbb{H}}_d^+}\left|\operatorname{tr}\left(\Theta V\right)\right|^2\int_{|r|\leq\varepsilon} r^2\nu^n\left(dr\right)\omega_d\left(dV\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\int_{|r|\leq\varepsilon} r^2\nu^n\left(dr\right) \longrightarrow a^2$ then

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\|\xi\|\leq\varepsilon} \left|\operatorname{tr}\left(\Theta\xi\right)\right|^2 \nu_d^n\left(d\xi\right) &\longrightarrow da^2 E_u\left|\operatorname{tr}\left(\Theta uu^*\right)\right|^2 \\
&= \frac{da^2}{d+1}\left(\operatorname{tr}\left(\Theta^2\right) + \left(\operatorname{tr}\left(\Theta\right)\right)^2\right),
\end{aligned}$$

which gives the operator in part (b) of Proposition 5. Thus $M_d^n \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}} M_d$. Finally the conclusion follows from Proposition 3. ■

Acknowledgement 12 *This work was done while Victor Pérez-Abreu was visiting Universidad Autónoma de Sinaloa in January and May of 2012.*

References

[1] D. Applebaum (2007): Levy processes and stochastic integrals in Banach spaces, *Probab. Math. Statist.* **27**, 75-88.

[2] O.E. Barndorff-Nielsen and R. Stelzer (2007): Positive-definite matrix processes of finite variation. *Probab. Math. Statist.* **27**, 3-43.

[3] O.E. Barndorff-Nielsen and R. Stelzer (2011): The multivariate supOU stochastic volatility model. *Math. Finance*, DOI: 10.1111/j.1467-9965.2011.00494.x, to appear.

[4] O.E. Barndorff-Nielsen and R. Stelzer (2011): Multivariate supOU processes. *Ann. Appl. Probab.* **21**, 140-182.

[5] F. Benaych-Georges (2005): Classical and free infinitely divisible distributions and random matrices. *Ann. Probab.,* **33**, 1134–1170.

[6] F. Benaych-Georges T. Cabanal-Duvillard (2012): Marchenko-Pastur theorem and Bercovici-Pata bijections for heavy-tailed or localized vectors. Preprint.

[7] H. Bercovici, V. Pata, with an appendix by P. Biane (1999): Stable laws and domains of attraction in free probability theory. *Ann. of Math.*, **149**, 1023-1060.

[8] T. Cabanal-Duvillard (2005): A matrix representation of the Bercovici-Pata bijection. *Electron. J. Probab.*, **10**, 632-661 (electronic).

[9] J. A. Domínguez-Molina and A. Rocha-Arteaga (2012): Random matrix models of stochastic integrals type for free infinitely divisible distributions. *Periodica Mathematica Hungarica.* DOI: 10.1007/s10998-012-5628-z

[10] O. Kallenberg (2002): *Foundations of Modern Probability. Second Edition.* Springer.

[11] V. Pérez-Abreu and N. Sakuma (2008): Free generalized gamma convolutions. *Elect. Comm. in Probab.* **13**, 526-539.

[12] C. Pigorsch and R. Stelzer (2009): On the definition, stationary distribution and second order structure of positive-semidefinite Ornstein-Uhlenbeck type processes. *Bernoulli* **15**, 754-773.

[13] P. Protter (2004): *Stochastic Integration and Differential Equations.* Stoch. Model. Appl. Probab. **21**, Springer.

[14] K. Sato (1999): *Lévy Processes and Infinitely Divisible Distributions.* Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge.

[15] R. Stelzer (2010): Multivariate COGARCH(1, 1) processes. *Bernoulli* **16**(1), 80–115. DOI: 10.3150/09-BEJ196.